MIDDLE FORK WEISER RIVER PROJECT

| WHY RESTORE A LANDSCAPE? | ROLE OF THE PFC | PROJECT GOALS | WHERE ARE WE GOING? |
|---|--|--|--|
| WHY RESTORE A LANDSCAPE? Current forest conditions depart from those desired. The current conditions depart from the historical range of landscape structure and function The conditions may be an outcome of past management: Timber production goals & harvest methods Fire suppression Road network design The desired conditions are defined based on data and analysis, and referenced in the current forest plan and pending amendments, including: Vegetation conditions & Wildlife Conservation Strategy (Appendix A) Aquatic conservation strategy (Appendix B) Watershed Condition Framework The conditions compromise the resiliency of the forest to recover from disturbance and adapt to climate change. Restoration actions will help restore ecosystem function by altering forest structures, composition and their distribution (pattern) on the landscape. | We provide recommendations to the line officer on all phases of restoration. Project Design Recommend treatment strategies, priorities & sideboards/guidelines. | PROJECT GOALS Our recommendations will reflect the members' diverse interests. Wildlife Improve habitat for terrestrial and aquatic species, as appropriate by need. Wildfire Improve forest resiliency to wildfire by restoring Potential Vegetation Groups (PVG) toward their respective historical range of structure Return fire to the landscape as an ecosystem process. Improve the ability to manage wildfire and protect surrounding communities. Watershed Health Improve water quality and watershed health Forest Access & Recreation Enhance the road and trail network to support access for resource management, outdoor recreation, and public safety. Restoration Economics Recommend actions which are financially responsible and contribute to the economic vitality of adjacent communities. | We will provide timely recommendations by a consensus process. Payette Coalition Mission Build diverse community support for forest restoration projects. |
| | Act (NEPA) Review Participate in scoping meetings Review scoping comments Comment on draft environmental impact statement (DEIS) Implementation Review contract type and specifications Recommend priorities for retained receipts of Stewardship Contracts Multi-party Monitoring Participate in the design & implementation of project monitoring. Conduct site review of completed contract services, and document the review. | | Project Design The PFC will submit projects: recommendations to the line officer by December, 2013 (April 22, 2014). NEPA Review Scoping begins 7/01/14 Review comments: 8/26/15 DEIS 4/28/15 Record of Decision 8/25/15 Implementation Multi-party Monitoring |

MIDDLE FORK WEISER RIVER PROJECT

KEY MEASURES (Indicators) FOR PFC GOALS Economics/Finance Wildlife Habitat & Wildfire Watershed Health **Forest Access** Watershed Condition Class Scale of restoration (how much Acres moved towards desired Net change in open system roads condition Apply/review watershed Miles of non-system, closed should be treated), i.e.: ٠ roads decommissioned PVG acres by tree size class & condition indicators (12 • Cost/benefit of road acres by canopy closure class of indicator model) for each 6^{th} • Change in miles of maintained restoration (miles) the large tree size class, with order watershed trails - motorized, non-motorized Cost/benefit of stand harvest • emphasis on PVGs 2, 5, & 6. Identify condition class for Forest access metrics will be • (acres) ٠ Wildland Urban Interface (WUI) each watershed prior to Cost, by watershed, to supported by Transportation • Analysis Planning (TAP), and not acres treated project change watershed condition Acres by fire condition class Estimate condition class for substitute for TAP. class (before and after treatment) Revenue as % of project cost each watershed post-• Change in elk security habitat treatment **Allocation** of restoration dollars Habitat restoration requirements - i.e., priority of treatment types of endangered species recovery **Income Contribution** plans, including salmon, • Job years resulting from steelhead, and Northern Idaho project expenditures ground squirrel (NIDGS) habitat Income contribution (dollars) quantity/distribution Note: PFC supports economic Incorporate noxious weed data activities on the forest that and weed free areas from the contribute to the vitality of local Early Detection Rapid Response communities, including activities Program such as commercial wood Native plants: distribution of products, ecological restoration, native plant communities livestock grazing, recreation, mining, etc. Project analysis metrics should include both positive (gains in income) and negative (decreases in income, if any) resulting from proposed restoration actions.

٠

٠